

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5852 OF 2005

Shri. Rajendra Ganpatlal Verma ...Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Shri. Anil Dhavale for the Applicant.
Ms Rajeshree Gadhvi, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : A. S. OKA, J.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 14, 2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard the Advocate appearing for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The offence alleged against the Applicant is under sections 406, 418, 420, 465, 461 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code. The case of the prosecution is that the Applicant has taken a housing loan from a Nationalised Bank in the sum of Rs.07,50,000/-. It is alleged that the Applicant submitted false and fabricated receipts and opened a fictitious account in the name of the builder M/s. Vishal Associates. It is alleged that the Applicant affixed his own photograph showing him as the builder while opening the account. After the loan was released by the Bank in the name of the builder, the Applicant withdrew the said amount from the fictitious account.

2. After having heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant and the learned A.P.P. for the State, I find that no case is made for grant of Anticipatory Bail. Gravity of allegations and the need for

custodial interrogation are the only factors which are to be considered while considering the Application for Anticipatory Bail. Considering the nature of allegations, it is obvious that custodial interrogation of the Applicant is necessary.

3. Application is rejected.

JUDGE